

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 06095134 0

INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION OF WOMEN'S HUMAN RIGHTS

PROFESSOR REBECCA J. COOK

FACULTY OF LAW

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

2005

*These materials have been prepared exclusively for the use of students of the
Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

SEP - 1 2005

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION OF WOMEN'S HUMAN RIGHTS


PROFESSOR REBECCA J. COOK

FACULTY OF LAW

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

2005

*These materials have been prepared exclusively for the use of students of the
Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

International Protection of Women's Human Rights

Professor Rebecca J. Cook

2005

1. Overview

Hilary Charlesworth and Christine Chinkin, Women and the International Legal System in *The Boundaries of International Law*, Manchester University Press, 2000, 1-22..... 1

See also: United Nations Millennium Project Task Force on Education and Gender Equality, Taking Action: Achieving Gender Equality and Empowering Women, www.unmilleniumproject.org/report/ last visited 2 Apr 05

2. Feminist Theories and Explanations of Women's Oppression & Liberation

Charlesworth and Chinkin, Feminist Theories and International Law in *The Boundaries of the Law*, supra, 23-61 12

3. The CEDAW Convention

Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women.....Annex 1

Andrew Byrnes, The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women in W. Benedek, E. Kisaakye & G. Oberleitner, eds. *Human Rights of Women: International Instruments and African Experiences*, Zed Books, 2002, 119-17225

CEDAW General Recommendations on Reporting:

<http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/recommendations.htm>..... Annex 8

4. The Principles of Treaty Interpretation, Feminist Methods and the Object and Purpose of the CEDAW Convention

Rebecca Cook, Reservations to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, *Virginia J. of Int'l Law* 30: 643, 660-663 (1990)53

Feminist Methods

Susanne Baer, Citizenship in Europe and the Construction of Gender by Law in the European Charter of Fundamental Rights in K. Knop ed., *Gender and Human Rights*, Oxford U. Press, 2003, 97-10657

Phyllis Goldfarb, "A Theory-Practice Spiral: The Ethics of Feminism and Clinical Education" (1990-1991) 75 *Minn. L. Rev.* 1599, 1625-1646.63

Hillary Charlesworth, "Feminist Methods in International Law" (1999) 93 *The American Journal of International Law* 379, 381-38585

The Object and Purpose of the CEDAW Convention

CEDAW, General Recommendation 25, Temporary Special Measures, 2004,
CEDAW/C/2004/I/WP.1/Rev.1, 30 Jan 2004 (Advance Unedited Version)
paras 1- 14.....Annex 10

Sandra Fredman, Beyond the Dichotomy of Formal and Substantive Equality: Towards a New
Definition of Equal Rights in I. Boerefijn et al eds., *Temporary Special Measures*, Intersentia,
2003, 111-11888

reread Byrnes, supra 123-12627

See also: Human Rights Committee, Equality of Rights between Men and Women, General
Comment 28, 2001: www.unhchr.ch/html/menu2/6/crc.htm

5. Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (Art. 1)

Kerry Rittich et al, The Gender of International Law, *ASIL Proceedings*, 1999,
206-209.....93

See also:

Thlimmenos v. Greece (2001) 31 E.H.R.R. 15, paras: 1-3, 34-49, <http://www.echr.coe.int/>

All Forms: Sex and Gender

Petrovic v. Austria (1998) 33 EHRR 307 (excerpt)95

See also:

Broeks v. The Netherlands (1987) HRC Communication No. 172/1984 , UN Doc.
CCPR/C/OP/2 at 196 (1990). <http://www.unhchr.ch/html/menu2/6/crc.htm>

*Advisory Opinion on the Proposed Amendments to the Naturalization Provisions of the Political
Constitution of Costa Rica* (1984) 5 Hum. Rts. L.J. 161. <http://www.corteidh.or.cr/stars.html>

All Forms: ethnic and race discrimination

Yilmaz-Dogan v. The Netherlands (1988) CERD Communication No. 001/1984,
Annual Report cite: A/43/18 (1988), Annex IV, pp. 59-64; CERD/C/36/D/1/1984 104

CERD, General Recommendation 25: Gender Related Dimensions of Racial Discrimination,
UN GAOR, 2000, Doc. No. A/55/18Annex 20

See also:

Abdulaziz, Cabales & Balkandali v. U.K. (1985) 94 E.C.H.R. (ser. A), www.echr.coe.int

All Forms: age discrimination

Rebecca Cook, Bernard Dickens and Mahmoud Fathalla, Age Discrimination, 203-205, Obstetric Fistula, 182-3 in *Reproductive Health and Human Rights*, Oxford U. Press, 2003 111

See also: Strategic Objective L on the girl child, Beijing Platform for Action, 1995
<http://www.un.org.womenwatch/daw/cedaw/>

All Forms: discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation

Marta Lucia Alvarez Giraldo v. Colombia, Admissibility Decision, Rep No. 71/99, Case 11.810, 4 April 1999 (Inter-American Commission on Human Rights) 5pp114

See also:

Joslin v. New Zealand, Comm. 902/1999, 30 July 2002, UN Doc. ICPR/C/75/D/902/1999 (Human Rights Committee), <http://www.unhchr.ch/html/menu2/6/crc.htm>

Loveday Hodson, Family Values: The Recognition of Same-Sex Relationships in International Law, *Netherlands Q. of Human Rights* 22: 33-57 (2004)

All Forms: Violence

CEDAW, General Recommendation 19, Violence against women (Eleventh session, 1992), U.N. Doc. A/47/38 at 1 (1993).....Annex 21

6. State Responsibility (Article 2)

Cognizability: Legal Recognition of Harms

Rebecca Cook, State Responsibility for Violations of Women’s Rights, *Harvard Human Rights J.* 7: 125, 130-137 (1994)119

Rape as torture: *Raquel Martín de Mejía v. Perú*, Case 10.970, Report No. 5/96, Inter-Am.C.H.R., OEA/Ser.L/V/II.91 Doc. 7 at 157 (1996), (excerpts)123
Focus on facts : 123-128
Focus on rape as torture: 130 - 134

The Nature of State Responsibility

ICESCR, Article 2Annex 26

CESCR, General Comment 3, The nature of States parties' obligations (Fifth session, 1990), U.N. Doc. E/1991/23, annex III at 86 (1990)Annex 27

ICCPR, Article 2	Annex 30
Human Rights Committee, General Comment 3, Article 2 Implementation at the national level (Thirteenth session, 1981), Compilation of General Comments and General Recommendations Adopted by Human Rights Treaty Bodies, U.N. Doc. HRI/GEN/1/Rev.6 at 125 (2003)	Annex 31
Andrew Byrnes and Jane Connors, Enforcing the Human Rights of Women: A Complaints Procedure for the Women's Convention? Brooklyn J. of Int'l L. XXI: 679, 707-32 (1996).....	145
Limitations on State Responsibility	
Cook, State Responsibility for Violations of Women's Rights, supra, 147-152 (issues); 172-174 (limitations).....	159
See also:	
Act of State Doctrine, <i>Fogarty v. U.K.</i> , ECHR, 2001 (Application no. 37112/97), 21/11/2001, www.echr.coe.int	
Margin of Appreciation Doctrine, <i>Lindsay v. United Kingdom</i> , 9 Eur. H.R. Rep. 555 (1986), www.echr.coe.int	
7. Understanding Why Women Lack Access to Justice	
Generally - Ayesha Imam, Gender Issues in the Challenge of Access to Human Rights, International Council on Human Rights Policy, 2003, <i>Enhancing Access to Human Rights</i> , Versoix, Switz (2004), full text available at: www.ichrp.org , 11pp.....	165
Patriarchy - <i>Ato del Avellanal v. Peru</i> , 1986, Communication No. 202/1986, U.N. Doc. Supp. No. 40 (A/44/40) at 196 (1988) Equality before the Law (Art. 15) esp. para 2.1.....	176
Janet Rifkin, "Toward a Theory of Law and Patriarchy" (1980) 3 Harv. Women's L.J. 83, 83-95	180
Albie Sachs, <i>Protecting Human Rights in a New South Africa</i> , (Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1990), 53-60	193
Discourse of Rights - Radhika Coomarswamy, To Bellow Like a Cow: Women, Ethnicity and the Discourse of Rights in R. Cook ed. <i>Human Rights of Women: National and International Perspectives</i> , U Penn Press, 1994, 39-57.....	199

Experience of Rights - Sally Engle Merry, Rights Talk and the Experience of Law: Implementing Women's Human Rights to Protection from Violence, Human Rights Quarterly 25: 343, 343-348, 378-381 (2003).....	209
---	-----

8. The CEDAW Optional Protocol

CEDAW Optional Protocol and its Rules of Procedure.....	Annex 38
---	----------

Laboni Hoq, The Women's Convention and Its Optional Protocol, Columbia Human Rights L. R. 32: 677, 693-694 (2001).....	215
--	-----

Communication Procedure – Articles 1-7

<i>A.T. v. Hungary</i> , Communication No. 1/2003, 14pp	222
---	-----

Model Form for submission of Communications to the CEDAW Committee under the CEDAW Optional Protocol.....	Annex 54
---	----------

Hoq, The Women's Convention and Its Optional Protocol, <i>supra</i> , 694-97, 709-715.....	215
--	-----

See also:

B.-J. v. Germany, Communication No. 1/2003, 14pp,
<http://www.un.org.womenwatch/daw/cedaw/>

Admissibility Requirements: CEDAW Committee, Issues arising under the CEDAW Optional Protocol, CEDAW/C/2004/IWP.2, 19 Nov 2003 (the Boerefijn Report) 1-19
<http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/>

Inquiry Procedure - Articles 8-9

Hoq, The Women's Convention and Its Optional Protocol, <i>supra</i> 697-699	236
---	-----

See also: CEDAW/C/2005/OP.8/Mexico, Report on Mexico produced by CEDAW under Art. 8 of the Optional Protocol, and the reply of the Government of Mexico, 27 Jan 05,
<http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/>

9. State Responsibility for Effective and Gender Sensitive Remedies (Article 2(b))

<i>Raquel Martín de Mejía v. Perú</i> , <i>supra</i> , focus on remedies.....	134-140
---	---------

CEDAW Committee, the Boerefijn Report, <i>supra</i> , 19-32.	238
---	-----

Rebecca Cook, State Responsibility for Violations of Women's Rights, <i>supra</i> , 169-171.....	252
--	-----

Changes in Domestic Laws (Arts 2 & 24)

X & Y v. The Netherlands, ECHR, 1985, 16/1983/72/110, App no. 00008978/80, 26/03/1985, 10pp.....254

R. v. Ewanchuk, [1999] 1 S.C.R. 330 Supreme Court of Canada, 1999, paras 68-74.....265

Anne Warner La Forest, “Domestic Application of International Law in *Charter* Cases: Are We There Yet?” (2004) 37 U.B.C. L. Rev. 157, 157-160, 161-170, 186-190272

See also:

M.C. v. Bulgaria, ECHR, 2003 (*App no. 39272/98*) 04/12/2003, <http://www.echr.coe.int/>

Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan (1997) 6 Supreme Court Cases 241 (India)
<http://www.judis.nic.in/>

Legal Aid

Airey v. Ireland, (Just Satisfaction) ECHR (1979) App no 6289/73, 06/02/1981.....286

See also: *Airey v. Ireland*, ECHR (1979) App no 6289/73, 09/10/1979, www.echr.coe.int

Criteria for Gender Sensitive Remedies

Loayza Tamayo v. Peru, Inter-American Court of Human Rights, Reparations, Judgment of Nov 27, 1998, (ser. C) No. 42 (1998), paras: 107-192.....292

See also:

Dinah Shelton, Remedies in International Human Rights Law, 2nd ed., (Oxford: Oxford U. Press, 2005) Theories of Remedies: 7-21, Remedies in National Law: 22-49, Non-Monetary Remedies: 269-290, International Tribunals including sexual harassment remedies: 226-230, Historical Injustice claims: 429-439 including sexual servitude for the Japanese Imperial Army during WWII: 436-439.

10. Use of Temporary Special Measures (Art. 4)

CEDAW Committee, General Recommendation 25: Temporary Special Measures, 2004, *supra*, paras 15-39 Annex 14

Rebecca Cook, Obligations to Adopt Temporary Special Measures under the CEDAW Convention in I. Boerefijn et al eds., *Temporary Special Measures*, Intersentia, 2003, 119, 119-135.....309

Carol Bacchi, The Practice of Affirmative Action Policies: Explaining Resistances and How These Affect Results in I. Boerefijn et al eds., *Temporary Special Measures*, supra, 75-95.....318

11. Elimination of Discrimination and Sex Role Stereotyping (Art. 2(f) & 5)

Pamela Davies, “Marriage, Divorce, and Inheritance Laws in Sierra Leone and their Discriminatory Effects on Women,” Human Rights Brief 12(3) 17-20 (2005)329

Rikki Holtmaat, *Towards Different Law and Public Policy*, The Dutch Ministry of Social Affairs and Employment, 2004, 71-80.....333

Religious Discrimination and Stereotyping

Courtney Howland, Safeguarding Women’s Political Freedoms under the ICCPR in the Face of Religious Fundamentalism in C. Howland ed., *Religious Fundamentalisms and the Human Rights of Women*, St Martins Press, 1999, 93-104.....339

Headscarves and Equal Exercise of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (Art. 3) and Political and Public Life (Art. 7)

Leyla Sahin v. Turkey, No. 44774/93, ECHR, 29 June 2004, 17pp.....345
(Case Pending before Grand Chamber)

Québec Commission des Droit de la Personne et des Droits de la Jeunesse, The Effects of Dress Rules in Schools on the Exercise of the Right to Equality and the Freedoms of Conscience and Religion: Legal Aspects, in *Religious Pluralism in Québec: A Social and Ethical Challenge*, 1995, 21-31.....362

See also:

Dahlab v. Switzerland, Admissibility Decision, ECHR, 15/02/2001, 13pp,
<http://www.echr.coe.int/>

Hudoyberganova v. Uzbekistan, Communication No. 931/2000, 18/01/2005,
<http://www.unhchr.ch/html/menu2/6/crc.htm>

Ayelet Shachar, “Religion, State, and the Problem of Gender: New Modes of Citizenship and Governance in Diverse Societies” McGill Law Journal 50:49-88 (2005)

Madhavi Sunder, “Piercing the Veil” Yale L. J. 112: 1399 (2003)

12. Elimination of Discrimination in the Family (Art. 16)

Polygyny and Equal Exercise of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (Art. 3) and

Family (Art. 16)

CEDAW, General Recommendation 21, Equality in marriage and family relations (Thirteenth session, 1992), U.N. Doc. A/49/38 at 1 (1994) – section regarding polygamy.....373

Susan Deller Ross, “Polygyny as a Violation of Women’s Right to Equality in Marriage: An Historical, Comparative and International Human Rights Overview” (2002) 24 Delhi Law Review 22375

Amira Mashhour, “Islamic Law and Gender Equality – Could There be a Common Ground?: A Study of Divorce and Polygamy in Sharia Law and Contemporary Legislation in Tunisia and Egypt” (2005) 27 Human Rights Quarterly 562, 568 – 571385

Itwari v. Asghari (1960) A.I.R. 684 (Allahabad).....389

R. B. v. the United Kingdom, Appl 19628/92, 29 June 1992392

See also:

Ali v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration) (1998), 154 F.T.R. 285.

Felicity Kaganas, & Christina Murray, “Law, Women and the Family: The Question of Polygyny in a New South Africa”(1991) *Acta Jur* 116 at 127.

Susan Moller Okin, *Is multiculturalism bad for women?* (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1999), 7-26.

Leti Volpp, “Feminism versus Multiculturalism”, *Columbia Law Review* 101: 1181-1218 (2001)

13. Cultural Discrimination and Stereotyping

Patriarchal Notions of Culture and the Equal Exercise of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (Art. 3)

Charlesworth and Chinkin, Feminist Theories and International Law in *The Boundaries of International Law*, supra, 222-225398

Lovelace v. Canada (1981) HRC 36 U.N. GOAR Supp. (no. 40) Annex XVIII; U.N. doc A/36/40 (1981), 10pp400

See also:

Santa Clara Pueblo v. Martinez, 436 US 49 (1978) (U.S.S.C.)

Patriarchal Approaches to the Health Services (Art 12): Spousal Authorization

Re-read Rifkin and Sachs, <i>supra</i>	180
Rebecca Cook, Bernard Dickens and Mahmoud Fathalla, 177-178 in <i>Reproductive Health and Human Rights</i> , Oxford U. Press, 2003.....	411
<i>Paton v. United Kingdom</i> 3 EHRR 408 (1980)	412
<i>Tremblay v. Daigle</i> [1989] 2 S.C.R. 530, para 78-79.....	422
Patriarchal Approaches to the Family (Art 16) & Property Rights (Arts 2(f), 14(h), 16(h))	
<i>Muojekwo & Ors v. Ejikeme & Ors</i> , [2000] 5 NWLR 402; [1999] 3(1) CHRLD 116. (Nigeria, Court of Appeal (Enugu)).....	424
<i>Bhe and Others v. The Magistrate, Khayelitsha and Others</i> Case CCT 49/03; <i>Shibi v Sithole and Others</i> Case CCT 69/03; <i>South African Human Rights Commission and Another v President of the Republic of South Africa and Another</i> Case CCT 50/03 (2004) (South African Constitutional Court) (excerpts).....	425
Celestine Nyamu, “How Should Human Rights and Development Respond to Cultural Legitimization of Gender Hierarchy in Developing Countries?” (2000) 41Harv. Int’l L. J. 381-418	433

See also:

Magaya v. Magaya, [1999] 3 LRC 35; (1999) 2 CHRLD, p. 414. (Zimbabwe, Supreme Court), abstracted in Legal Grounds: Reproductive and Sexual Rights in African Commonwealth Courts: www.crlp.org/pub_bo_legalgrounds.html

14. Market Discrimination and Stereotyping – Trafficking in Women and Exploitation of Prostitution of Women (Art. 6)

International Law Association Committee on Feminism and International Law, Women and Migration: Interim Report on Trafficking in Women, 2004471

Audrey Macklin, At the Border of Rights, Migration, Sex Work, and Trafficking, 161-191 in *From the Margins of Globalization: Critical Perspectives on Human Rights* Ed. by Neve Gordon ed. Lanham, Md.: Lexington Books, 2004.....499

See also:

Janie Chuang, Redirecting the Debate over Trafficking in Women: Definitions, Paradigms, and Concerns, *Harvard Human Rights J.* 11: 65, 80-107 (1998)

Joan Fitzpatrick, Trafficking as a Human Rights Violation: The Complex Intersection of Legal Frameworks for Conceptualizing and Combating Trafficking, Michigan J. of Int’l L. 24: 1143-1167 (2003)

Dina Haynes, Used, Abused, Arrested and Deported: Extended Immigration Benefits to Protect the Victims of Trafficking and to Secure the Prosecution of Traffickers, Human Rights Q. 26: 221-272 (2004)

1999. CRDD T98-06186. *Re: GVP*, ImmRefAppBd, November 2

Annex

Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW Convention).....	1
--	---

CEDAW General Recommendations on Reporting: www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/recommendations.htm	8
--	---

CEDAW, General Recommendation 25: Temporary Special Measures, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/2004/I/WP.1/Rev.1, 30 Jan 2004 (Advance Unedited Version).....	10
--	----

CERD, General Recommendation 25, Gender Related Dimensions of Racial Discrimination (Fifty-sixth session, 2000), U.N. Doc. A/55/18, annex V at 152 (2000), reprinted in Compilation of General Comments and General Recommendations Adopted by Human Rights Treaty Bodies, U.N. Doc. HRI\GEN\1\Rev.6 at 214 (2003).....	20
---	----

CEDAW, General Recommendation 19: Violence against Women (Eleventh session, 1992), U.N. Doc. A/47/38 at 1(1993) reprinted in Compilation of General Comments and General Recommendations Adopted by Human Rights Treaty Bodies, U.N. Doc. HRI/GEN/1/Rev.6 at 243 (2003)	21
---	----

ICESCR, Article 2	26
-------------------------	----

CESCR, General Comment 3, The nature of States parties' obligations (Fifth session, 1990), U.N. Doc. E/1991/23, annex III at 86 (1990)	27
--	----

ICCPR, Article 2	30
------------------------	----

Human Rights Committee, General Comment 3, Article 2 Implementation at the national level (Thirteenth session, 1981), Compilation of General Comments and General Recommendations Adopted by Human Rights Treaty Bodies, U.N. Doc. HRI/GEN/1/Rev.6 at 125 (2003)	31
--	----

Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women	38
---	----

Rules of Procedure of the CEDAW Optional Protocol.....	46
--	----

Model Form for submission of Communications to the CEDAW Committee under the CEDAW Optional Protocol.....	54
--	----

CEDAW, General Recommendation 21, Equality in marriage and family relations (Thirteenth session, 1992), U.N. Doc. A/49/38 at 1 (1994), reprinted in Compilation of General Comments and General Recommendations Adopted by Human Rights Treaty Bodies, U.N. Doc. HRI/GEN/1/Rev.6 at 250 (2003).....	57
---	----

CEDAW, General Recommendation 24, Women and Health (Twentieth session, 1999), U.N. Doc. A/54/38 at 5 (1999), reprinted in Compilation of General Comments and General Recommendations Adopted by Human Rights Treaty Bodies, U.N. Doc. HRI/GEN/1/Rev.6 at 271 (2003).....	64
---	----

Researching International Women’s Rights on the Internet

Women Watch: <http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/>

Bora Laskin Law Library, University of Toronto, Women’s Human Rights Resources:
<http://www.law-lib.utoronto.ca/diana/index.htm>

University of Minnesota Human Rights Library: <http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/>

Women’s Link Worldwide: <http://www.womenslinkworldwide.org>

Compilation of general comments and general recommendations adopted by Human Rights Treaty bodies: 12/05/2004. UN Doc. HRI/GEN/1/Rev. 7. (General Comments):
<http://www.unhchr.ch/tbs/doc.nsf/0/ca12c3a4ea8d6c53c1256d500056e56f?Opendocument>

